arXiv:1406.0972v3 [math-ph] 13 Jul 2015

Kinematical versus Dynamical Contractions of the de Sitter Lie algebras

Joachim Nzotungicimpaye*†

July 14, 2015

Abstract

We explicit and clarify better the contraction method that Bacry and Levy-Leblond[1] used to link all the kinematical Lie groups. Firstly, we use the kinematical parameters: the speed c of light, the radius r of the universe and the period τ of the universe constrained by $r = c\tau$. Secondly, we use the dynamical parameters that are mass m, energy E_0 and compliance C. The kinematical and the dynamical parameters are related by the three relations $c^2 = \frac{E_0}{m}$, $\tau^2 = mC$ and $r^2 = CE_0$. For each kinematical Lie algebra, we express the associated physical quantities in function of these dynamical parameters.

1 Introduction

Contraction is a method permitting to construct a new group (algebra) from an old one. Contraction of Lie groups and Lie algebras started with E.Inonu and E.P.Wigner[11] in 1953.

*University of Rwanda, College of Education, Department of Mathematics and Physics

†email address: kimpaye@kie.ac.rw

Inonu and Wigner were trying to connect Galilean relativity and special relativity. Eight years later, in 1961, E.Saletan[17] provided a mathematical foundation for the Inonu-Wigner method. Since then, various papers have been produced and the method of contraction has been applied to various Lie groups and Lie algebras([4],[8], [9], [10], [13], [14],[16],[18]).

In addition this method has been used by H.Bacry J.M.Levy-Leblond [1] to link the de Sitter Lie algebras to all other kinematical Lie algebras through three kinds of contractions: speed-space contractions, speed-time contractions and space-time contractions. These naming being related to the fact that Bacry and Levy-Leblond have first of all scaled the speed-space generators, the speed-time translation generators ,the space-time translation generators by a parameter ϵ to obtain in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ the speed-space contractions, speed-time contractions and space-time contractions respectively. Within the corresponding eleven Lie groups, four of them, the Galilei group G governing the Newtonian physics (Galilean relativity), the Poincare group P governing the Einstein physics (special relativity), the Newton-Hooke groups $NH_{\pm}$ describing a galilean relativity in presence of a cosmological constant and the de Sitter Lie groups $dS_{\pm}$ governing the de Sitter relativity of a space-time in expansion or oscillating, are well known in physics litterature. Within the remaining five ones, the Para-Poincare groups $P_{\pm}$ and the Static S are still unknown in physics but the Para-Galilei group G_{+} and the Carroll group C are gaining more interest in nowadays. The Para-Galilei group as governing a light spring[15] while the Carroll group as associated to tachyon dynamics[7] , to Carrollian electromagnetim[5] versus Galilean electromagnetism[12] or to dynamics of Carroll particles[2].

The aim of this paper is to explicit the three kinds of contraction methods and clarify them better. First, we recall the Inonu-Wigner contraction method (section 2). We recall also the twelve kinematical Lie algebras found by A.Ngendakumana and al.[16] following the Bacry

and Levy-Leblond method (section 3). We recover these twelve kinematical Lie algebras by using the kinematical parameters which are the universe radius r related to the cosomlogical constant by $r^2 = \frac{3}{\Lambda}$, the universe period τ and the speed of light c. This clarifies the speed-space, speed-time and the space-time contractions of Bacry and Levy-Leblond (section 4). In so doing, the kinematical Lie algebras are found by keeping one parameter finite and by letting the other two tend to infinity while keeping their ratio finite. With section 5 we parameterize the de Sitter Lie algebras by the dynamical parameters mass m, compliance C (inverse of stifness or Hooke constant) and energy E_0 related to the kinematical parameters by $c^2 = \frac{E_0}{m}$, $\tau^2 = mC$ and $r^2 = CE_0$. Each of the three kinds of contraction is then obtained by letting one of the dynamical parameter tend to infinity. The three Bacry and Levy-Leblond contractions, i.e. the speed-space contraction, the speed-time contraction and the space-time contraction correspond to an infinite energy E_0, an infinite mass m and an infinite compliance C respectively. They are the Newtonian limit, the static limit and the flat limit of Dyson[6]. Finally with section 6 , the Kirillov form permits us to establish for each kinematical Lie algebra, a Poisson Lie algebra and the motion equations that clarify better the links and differences between the twelve kinematical Lie algebras.

2 Inonu-Wigner Contractions of Lie algebras

We start with a Lie algebra $(\mathcal{G}, \varphi)$ generated by X_i and defined by $\varphi(X_i, X_j) = X_k C_{ij}^k$ where the constants C_{ij}^k are called the structure constants of $\mathcal{G}$. The mapping $\varphi : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ is **bilinear skewsymmetric** and satisfy the Jacobi identity

$$\varphi(X_i, \varphi(X_j, X_k)) + c.p. = 0 \tag{1}$$

meaning that a Lie algebra is non associative.

We then define a mapping $\psi_\epsilon : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ which is singular for a certain value ϵ_0 of ϵ. If the mapping $\varphi' : \mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}$ is defined by

$$\varphi'(X,Y) = \lim_{\epsilon \to \epsilon_0} \psi_\epsilon^{-1}(\psi_\epsilon(X), \psi_\epsilon(Y)) \tag{2}$$

then $(\mathcal{G}, \varphi')$ is a new Lie algebra called the **contraction of the Lie algebra** $(\mathcal{G}, \varphi)$.

The pioneer contraction method is that of E.Inonu and E.P.Wigner [11] which starts with a Lie algebra $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{P}$ where $\mathcal{H}$ is generated X_a, $\mathcal{P}$ is generated by X_α; the structure of $\mathcal{G}$ being a priori given by

$$\varphi(X_a, X_b) = X_c C_{ab}^c + X_\gamma C_{ab}^\gamma$$

$$\varphi(X_a, X_\alpha) = X_c C_{a\alpha}^c + X_\gamma C_{a\alpha}^\gamma \ , \ \varphi(X_\alpha, X_\beta) = X_c C_{\alpha\beta}^c + X_\gamma C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma$$

The Inonu-Wigner method uses the parameterized change of basis $\psi_\epsilon : (X_a, X_\alpha) \to (Y_a, Y_\alpha)$ defined by $Y_a = X_a \ , \ Y_\alpha = \epsilon X_\alpha$. The structure of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ becomes

$$\varphi(Y_a, Y_b) = Y_c C_{ab}^c + \epsilon^{-1} Y_\gamma C_{ab}^\gamma \tag{3}$$

$$\varphi(Y_a, Y_\alpha) = \epsilon Y_c C_{a\alpha}^c + Y_\gamma C_{a\alpha}^\gamma \ , \ \varphi(Y_\alpha, Y_\beta) = \epsilon^2 Y_c C_{\alpha\beta}^c + \epsilon Y_\gamma C_{\alpha\beta}^\gamma \tag{4}$$

In the limit $\epsilon \to 0$, the term $\epsilon^{-1} Y_\gamma C_{ab}^\gamma$ diverges. A limit will exist if only if the structure constants C_{ab}^γ vanish. Hence to get a Inonu-Wigner contraction, $\mathcal{H}$ must be a subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}$. The structure of the contracted Lie algebra is then

$$\varphi'(Y_a, Y_b) = Y_c C_{ab}^c \ , \ \varphi'(Y_a, Y_\alpha) = Y_\beta C_{a\alpha}^\beta \ , \ \varphi'(Y_\alpha, Y_\beta) = 0 \tag{5}$$

The Lie algebra $(\mathcal{G}, \varphi')$ defined by (5) is a Inonu-Wigner contraction of the mother Lie algebra $(\mathcal{G}, \varphi)$ with respect to the Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{H}$. It is a semi-direct sum of $(\mathcal{H}, \varphi')$ and the abelian Lie algebra $(\mathcal{P}, \varphi')$.

3 Possible kinematical Lie algebras a la Levy-Leblond

According to H.Bacry and J.M.Levy-Leblond [1], a kinematical group is a space-time transformation group keeping laws of physics invariant. Due to the assumptions of space isotropy, space-time homogeneity and the existence of inertial transformations, a kinematical group is a ten dimensional Lie group whose the Lie algebra is generated by three rotation generators J_i (isotropy of space), three space translation generators P_i (homogeneity of space), a time translation generator H (homogeneity of time) and three inertial transformation generators K_i. Following Bacry and J.M.Levy-Leblond [1], A.Ngendakumana and coauthors [16] have shown that under some mathematical physics assumptions there are only twelve mathematical possible kinematical Lie algebras. Their Lie algebraic structures have in common the Lie brackets defining the adjoint representation of the rotation generators

$$[J_i, J_j] = J_k\epsilon_{ij}^k,\ [J_i, K_j] = K_k\epsilon_{ij}^k,\ [J_i, P_j] = P_k\epsilon_{ij}^k,\ [J_i, H] = 0$$

The remaining Lie brackets are given by the Table I [16] below where c is a speed, r is a length and τ is a time.

The ParaPoincare Lie algebra $\mathcal{P}_+$ which is isomorphic to the Euclidean Lie algebra $\mathcal{E}(4)$, the "translations" generated by K_i and H form an abelian Lie algebra. This Lie algebra has been removed in the kinematical ones by Bacry and Levy-Leblond [1] with the argument that the inertial transformations are compact. However they are noncompact, only space translations are compact.

Using the Inonu-Wigner contraction method [11], Bacry and Levy-Leblond [1] have established that these Lie algebras are approximations of the de Sitter Lie algebras. Their links are summarized by the contractions scheme (see Figure 1 on page 1610 of [1]). We will refer to these nomenclatura in the next two sections.

Lie symbol	Lie algebra Name	$[K_i, H]$	$[K_i, K_j]$	$[K_i, P_j]$	$[P_i, P_j]$	$[P_i, H]$
$dS_{\pm}$	*de Sitter*	P_i	$-\frac{1}{c^2}J_k\epsilon_{ij}^k$	$\frac{1}{c^2}H\delta_{ij}$	$\pm\frac{1}{r^2}J_k\epsilon_{ij}^k$	$\pm\frac{1}{\tau^2}K_i$
P	$Poincare$	P_i	$-\frac{1}{c^2}J_k\epsilon_{ij}^k$	$\frac{1}{c^2}H\delta_{ij}$	0	0
$NH_{\pm}$	$Newton-Hooke$	P_i	0	0	0	$\pm\frac{1}{\tau^2}K_i$
$P_{\pm}$	$Para\ Poincare$	0	0	$\frac{1}{c^2}H\delta_{ij}$	$\pm\frac{1}{r^2}J_k\epsilon_{ij}^k$	$\pm\frac{1}{\tau^2}K_i$
G	$Galilei$	P_i	0	0	0	0
$G_{\pm}$	$Para-Galilei$	0	0	0	0	$\pm\frac{1}{\tau^2}K_i$
C	$Carroll$	0		$\frac{1}{c^2}H\delta_{ij}$	0	0
S	$Static$	0	0	0	0	0

Table I: The kinematical Lie algebras in term of c,r and τ

4 Kinematical contractions of the de Sitter Lie algebras

We propose to recover the Bacry and Levy-Leblond contractions scheme by using the kinematical parameters r, τ and c which are the radius of universe, the period of the universe and speed of light, respectively. We first introduce the de Sitter Lie algebras $dS_{\pm}$ as isomorphic to the pseudo-orthogonal Lie algebras $O_{\pm}(5)$, i.e. that $dS_{+}(3)$ $[dS_{-}(3)]$ is isomorhic to $O(1,4)$ $[O(2,3)]$ Lie algebra. The aim of this section is to clarify better and in another way the naming speed-space contractions, speed-time contractions and space-time contractions of Levy-Leblond [1].

4.1 The Lie algebras $\mathcal{O}_{\pm}(5)$

Let V be a five dimensional manifold equipped with the metric

$$ds^2 = \delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j - (dx^4)^2 \pm (dx^5)^2 \equiv \eta_{ab}dx^a dx^b, \quad i,j = 1,2,3 \tag{6}$$

where the dimension of the x^a is that of length. The matrix elements η_{ab} form the matrix

$$\eta_{\pm} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{3\times 3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \pm 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{7}$$

The isometry group of the metric (6) is the group of real square matrices g with order 5 satisfying

$$g^t \eta_{\pm} g = \eta_{\pm} \tag{8}$$

The Lie group $SO_0(4,1)$ is the connected component of G_+ while $SO_0(3,2)$ is the connected component of G_-.

The Lie algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\pm}(5)$ is the set of the real square matrices X of order 5 satisfying

$$ {}^tX\eta_{\pm} + \eta_{\pm}X = 0 \tag{9}$$

It is a linear combination

$$ X = J_k\theta^k + A_k\alpha^k + B_k\beta^k + \gamma\Gamma, \quad k = 1,2,3 \tag{10}$$

where the dimensionless matrices

$$ J_k = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon^i_{kj} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ , \ A_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_k & 0 \\ {}^te_k & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ , \ B_k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & e_k \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mp{}^te_k & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \ , \ \Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & \pm 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} $$

form a basis of $\mathcal{O}_{\pm}(5)$ whose the Lie structure is defined by the Lie brackets

$$ [J_i, J_j] = J_k\epsilon^k_{ij}, \ [J_i, A_j] = A_k\epsilon^k_{ij}, \ [J_i, B_j] = B_k\epsilon^k_{ij}, \ [J_i, \Gamma] = 0 \tag{11}$$

$$ [A_i, A_j] = -J_k\epsilon^k_{ij}, \ [A_i, B_j] = \Gamma\delta_{ij}, \ [A_i, \Gamma] = B_i \tag{12}$$

$$ [B_i, B_j] = \pm J_k\epsilon^k_{ij}, \ [B_i, \Gamma] = \pm A_i \tag{13}$$

Those generators can be realized as the differential operators

$$ J_k = \epsilon^i_{kj}x^j\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \ , \ A_k = x^4\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} + x^k\frac{\partial}{\partial x^4} \ , \ B_k = \mp x^5\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} + x^k\frac{\partial}{\partial x^5} \ , \ \Gamma = x^4\frac{\partial}{\partial x^5} \pm x^5\frac{\partial}{\partial x^4} \tag{14}$$

4.2 The de Sitter Lie algebras

Let us set $K_i = \frac{1}{c}A_i$, $P_i = \frac{1}{r}B_i$ and $H = \frac{1}{\tau}\Gamma$ where $\sigma = \frac{1}{c}$ is a slowness, $\kappa = \frac{1}{r}$ is a curvature and $\omega = \frac{1}{\tau}$ is a frequency. It then follows from (14) that

$$ K_i = t\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \frac{x^i}{c^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \ , \ P_i = \mp\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} + \frac{x^i}{r^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \ , \ H = \frac{c}{r\tau}t\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \pm \frac{r}{c\tau}\lambda\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tag{15}$$

where $t = \frac{x^4}{c}$ is a time coordinate while $\lambda = \frac{x^5}{r}$ is a dimensionless coordinate. Hence parameters associated to K_i ,P_i and H have velocity, length and time as respective dimension. The Lie brackets (11), (12) and (13) become then

$$[J_i, J_j] = J_k\epsilon_{ij}^k,\ [J_i, K_j] = K_k\epsilon_{ij}^k,\ [J_i, P_j] = P_k\epsilon_{ij}^k,\ [J_i, H] = 0 \tag{16}$$

$$[K_i, K_j] = -\frac{1}{c^2}J_k\epsilon_{ij}^k,\ [K_i, P_j] = \frac{\tau}{cr}H\delta_{ij},\ [K_i, H] = \frac{r}{c\tau}P_i \tag{17}$$

$$[P_i, P_j] = \pm\frac{1}{r^2}J_k\epsilon_{ij}^k,\ [P_i, H] = \pm\frac{c}{r\tau}K_i \tag{18}$$

Let us now study the limits of the de Sitter Lie algebras as the constants tend to infinity. Normally the three constants are constrained by $r = c\tau$. However, we momentally ignore it. We will use it at the end of the section to show that we have recovered the results of table 1. It is first of all evident that (16) does not change. We are then interested in the behavior of (17) and (18) only.

4.3 The Newton-Hooke, Poincare and Para-Poincare Lie algebras

In this section we look for the limits of the de Sitter Lie algebras as two of the constants tend to infinity while their ratio if kept finite.

The limits of (17) and (18) as the speed c and the radius r tend to infinity while their ratio $\frac{r}{c}$ is kept finite are

$$[K_i, K_j] = 0,\ [K_i, P_j] = 0,\ [K_i, H] = \frac{r}{c\tau}P_i \tag{19}$$

$$[P_i, P_j] = 0,\ [P_i, H] = \pm\frac{c}{r\tau}K_i \tag{20}$$

The Lie brackets (16), (19) and (20) define the Newton-Hooke Lie algebra $\mathcal{NH}_\pm(3)$.

The limits of (17) and (18) as the period τ and the radius r tend to infinity while their ratio

$\frac{r}{\tau}$ is kept fnite are

$$[K_i, K_j] = -\frac{1}{c^2} J_k \epsilon_{ij}^k,\ [K_i, P_j] = \frac{\tau}{rc} H \delta_{ij},\ [K_i, H] = \frac{r}{c\tau} P_i \tag{21}$$

$$[P_i, P_j] = 0,\ [P_i, H] = 0 \tag{22}$$

The Lie brackets (16), (21) and (22) define the Poincare Lie algebra $\mathcal{P}(3)$.

The limits of (17) and (18) as the speed c and the period τ tend to infinity while their ratio $\frac{c}{\tau}$ is kept finite are

$$[K_i, K_j] = 0,\ [K_i, P_j] = \frac{\tau}{rc} H \delta_{ij},\ [K_i, H] = 0 \tag{23}$$

$$[P_i, P_j] = \pm\frac{1}{r^2} J_k \epsilon_{ij}^k,\ [P_i, H] = \pm\frac{c}{\tau r} K_i \tag{24}$$

The Lie brackets (16), (23) and (24) define the Para-Poincare Lie algebra $\mathcal{P}_{\pm}(3)$.

As in [1], the Newton-Hooke Lie algebras, the Poincare Lie algebra and the Para-Poincare Lie algebras are speed-space, space-time and speed-time contractions of the de Sitter Lie algebras respectively.

4.4 The Galilei, Para-Galilei and Carroll Lie algebras

The limit of the Lie brackets (19) and (20) as the radius r and the period τ tend to infinity while $\frac{r}{\tau}$ is kept finite and the limit (21) and (22) as the radius r and the speed c tend to infinity while $\frac{c}{r}$ is kept finite are the same, i.e.

$$[K_i, K_j] = 0,\ [K_i, P_j] = 0,\ [K_i, H] = \frac{r}{c\tau} P_i \tag{25}$$

$$[P_i, P_j] = 0,\ [P_i, H] = 0 \tag{26}$$

The Lie brackets (16), (25) and (26) define the Galilei Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$.

The limit of the Lie brackets (19) and (20) as the speed c and the period τ tend to infinity while $\frac{c}{\tau}$ is kept finite and the limit (23) and (24) as the radius r and the speed c tend to infinity while $\frac{c}{r}$ is kept finite are the same, i.e.

$$[K_i, K_j] = 0,\ [K_i, P_j] = 0,\ [K_i, H] = 0 \tag{27}$$

$$[P_i, P_j] = 0,\ [P_i, H] = \pm\frac{c}{r\tau}K_i \tag{28}$$

The Lie brackets (16), (27) and (28) define the Para-Galilei Lie algbra $\mathcal{G}_{\pm}$.

The limit of the Lie brackets (21) and (22) as the speed c and the period τ tend to infinity while $\frac{c}{\tau}$ is kept finite and the limit (23) and (24) as the radius r and the period τ tend to infinity while $\frac{r}{\tau}$ is kept finite are the same, i.e.

$$[K_i, K_j] = 0,\ [K_i, P_j] = \frac{\tau}{rc}H\delta_{ij},\ [K_i, H] = 0 \tag{29}$$

$$[P_i, P_j] = 0,\ [P_i, H] = 0 \tag{30}$$

The Lie brackets (16), (29) and (30) define the Carroll Lie algebra $\mathcal{C}(3)$.

Hence the Galilei, the Para-Galilei, the Carroll Lie algebras are contractions of the Newton-Hooke or Poincare Lie algebras, the Newton-Hooke or the Para-Poincare Lie algebras, the Poincare or the Para-Poincare Lie algebras respectively.

4.5 The Static Lie algebra

The limit of the Lie brackets (25) and (26) as the speed c and the period τ tend to ∞ while $\frac{c}{\tau}$ is kept finite ,the limit (27) and (28) as the radius r and the period τ tend to ∞ while $\frac{r}{\tau}$ is kept finite and the limit of the Lie brackets (29) and (30) as the speed c and the radius r

tend infinity while $\frac{c}{r}$ is kept finite are the same; i.e

$$[K_i, K_j] = 0,\ [K_i, P_j] = 0,\ [K_i, H] = 0 \tag{31}$$

$$[P_i, P_j] = 0,\ [P_i, H] = 0 \tag{32}$$

The Lie brackets (16), (31) and (32) define the Static Lie algebra $\mathcal{S}$.

When the constraint $r = c\tau$ is taken in account, the Lie brackets in the table I are recovered. These approximations through kinematical parameters are summarized in the following cube (see figure 1). The horizontal arrows represent the contractions as $c, \tau \to \infty$(speed-time contractions), the vertical arrows represent the contractions as $c, r \to \infty$(speed-space contractions) and the oblique arrows represent the contractions as $r, \tau \to \infty$(space-time).



Figure 1: Contractions in terms of c, r and τ

5 Dynamical contractions of the de Sitter Lie algebras

We are going to characterize the kinematical Lie algebras by the compliance, the mass and the energy in place of the curvature, the speed of light and the period τ. For this we define the impulse generators $Q_i = \frac{1}{m}K_i$ where m is a mass, the compliance $C = \frac{\tau^2}{m}$ and the energy $E_0 = mc^2$.

5.1 Three parameters Lie algebras

If we inject these ingredients in (16), (17) and (18) we obtain that the de Sitter Lie algebras $dS_\pm$ is defined in the basis (J_i, Q_i, P_i, H) by the Lie brackets

$$[J_i, J_j] = J_k\epsilon_{ij}^k,\ [J_i, Q_j] = Q_k\epsilon_{ij}^k,\ [J_i, P_j] = P_k\epsilon_{ij}^k,\ [J_i, H] = 0 \tag{33}$$

$$[Q_i, Q_j] = -\frac{1}{mE_0}J_k\epsilon_{ij}^k,\ [Q_i, P_j] = \frac{1}{E_0}H\delta_{ij},\ [Q_i, H] = \frac{1}{m}P_i \tag{34}$$

$$[P_i, P_j] = \pm\frac{1}{CE_0}J_k\epsilon_{ij}^k,\ [P_i, H] = \pm\frac{1}{C}Q_i \tag{35}$$

The de Sitter Lie algebras $dS_\pm$ are then characterized by the three parameters m, C and E_0.

5.2 Two parameters Lie algebras

When the energy E_0 tends to infinity, the compliance C tends to infinity and the mass tends to infinity, the Lie brackets (33), (34) and (35) tend to the Lie brackets defining the Newton-Hooke Lie algebras $(NH_\pm)$, defining the Poincare Lie algebra P and defining the Para-Poincare Lie algebras $P_\pm$, respectively. The Newton-Hooke Lie algebras are then characterized by a mass m and a compliance C related by the frequency $\omega = \frac{1}{\sqrt{mC}}$ (time $\tau = \sqrt{mC}$), the Poincare Lie algebra is characterized by a mass and an energy related by the

speed $c = \sqrt{\frac{E_0}{m}}$ (slowness $s = \sqrt{\frac{m}{E_0}}$) and the Para-Poincare Lie algeberas are characterized by an energy and a compliance related by the curvature $\kappa = \frac{1}{\sqrt{CE_0}}$ (the radius $r = \sqrt{CE_0}$).

5.3 One parameter Lie algebras

The Lie brackets defining the Galilei Lie algebra G are obtained from those defining the Poincare Lie as the energy tends to infinity or from those defining the Newton-Hooke Lie algebras as the compliance tends to infinity; the Lie brackets defining the Carroll Lie algebra C are obtained from those defining the Poincare Lie algebra as the mass tends to infinity or from those defining the Para-Poincare Lie algebras as the compliance tends to infinity; the Lie brackets defining the Para-Galilei Lie algebras $G_{\pm}$ are obtained from those defining the Para-Poincare Lie algebras as the energy tends to infinity or from those defining the Newton-Hooke Lie algebras as the mass tend to infinity. The Galilei Lie algebra is then characterized by a mass, the Carroll Lie algebra is characterized by an energy while the Para-Galilei Lie algebras are charcterized by a compliance.

5.4 Zero parameters Lie algebra

The zero parameters Lie algebra is the static Lie algebra which is obtained from the Galilei Lie algebra as the mass tends to infinity, from the Carroll Lie algebra as the energy tends to infinity or from the Para-Galilei Lie algebra as the compliance tends to infinity.

All the Lie brackets defining these Lie algebras have in common the Lie brackets

$$[J_i, J_j] = J_k\epsilon_{ij}^k,\ [J_i, K_j] = K_k\epsilon_{ij}^k,\ [J_i, P_j] = P_k\epsilon_{ij}^k,\ [J_i, H] = 0$$

the others are summarized in the table II while the limiting process is given by the figure 2 where the horizontal arrows represent the contractions as $m \to \infty$(static limit), the vertical

arrows represent the contractions as $E_0 \to \infty$(Newtonian limit) and the oblique arrows represent the contractions as $C \to \infty$(flat limit).

Lie symbol	Lie algebra Name	$[Q_i, H]$	$[Q_i, Q_j]$	$[Q_i, P_j]$	$[P_i, P_j]$	$[P_i, H]$
$dS_\pm$	*de Sitter*	$\frac{1}{m}P_i$	$-\frac{1}{mE_0}J_k\epsilon_{ij}^k$	$\frac{1}{E_0}H\delta_{ij}$	$\pm\frac{1}{CE_0}J_k\epsilon_{ij}^k$	$\pm\frac{1}{C}Q_i$
P	*Poincare*	$\frac{1}{m}P_i$	$-\frac{1}{mE_0}J_k\epsilon_{ij}^k$	$\frac{1}{E_0}H\delta_{ij}$	0	0
$NH_\pm$	$Newton-Hooke$	$\frac{1}{m}P_i$	0	0	0	$\pm\frac{1}{C}Q_i$
$P_\pm$	*Para Poincare*	0	0	$\frac{1}{E_0}H\delta_{ij}$	$\pm\frac{1}{CE_0}J_k\epsilon_{ij}^k$	$\pm\frac{1}{C}Q_i$
G	*Galilei*	$\frac{1}{m}P_i$	0	0	0	0
$G_\pm$	$Para-Galilei$	0	0	0	0	$\pm\frac{1}{C}Q_i$
C	*Carroll*	0		$\frac{1}{E_0}H\delta_{ij}$	0	0
S	*Static*	0	0	0	0	0

Table II: The kinematical Lie algebras in term of the mass, the compliance and the energy



Figure 2: Contractions in terms of mass, energy and compliance

It follows from table III below that

- the de Sitter, Poincare, Newton-Hooke and the Galilei Lie algebras are finite mass Lie algebras while the Para-Poincare, the Carroll, the Para-Galilei and the static Lie algebras are their respective limits as mass becomes infinite;

- the de Sitter, Para-Poincare, Newton-Hooke and the Para-Galilei Lie algebras are finite compliance Lie algebras while the Poincare, the Carroll, the Galilei and the Static Lie algebras are their respective limits as compliance becomes infinite;

- the de Sitter, Poincare, Para-Poincare and the Carroll Lie algebras are finite energy Lie algebras while the Newton-Hooke, the Galilei, the Para-Galilei and the Static Lie algebras are their respective limits as energy becomes infinite.

If we use the letter $\mathcal{K}$ for kinematical, the de Sitter, Poincare, Para-Poincare, Newton-Hooke, Galilei, Para-Galilei, and Carroll Lie algebras can be labeled as $\mathcal{K}_{(m,E_0,C)}$, $\mathcal{K}_{(m,E_0)}$, $\mathcal{K}_{(E_0,C)}$, $\mathcal{K}_{(m,C)}$, $\mathcal{K}_m$, $\mathcal{K}_C$ and $\mathcal{K}_{E_0}$, respectively.

Lie symbol	Lie algebra Name	$m < \infty$	$m = \infty$	$C < \infty$	$C = \infty$	$E_0 < \infty$	$E_0 = \infty$
$dS_{\pm}$	*de Sitter*	*yes*	*no*	*yes*	*no*	*yes*	*no*
P	*Poincare*	*yes*	*no*	*no*	*yes*	*yes*	*no*
$NH_{\pm}$	$Newton - Hooke$	*yes*	*no*	*yes*	*no*	*no*	*yes*
$P_{\pm}$	*Para Poincare*	*no*	*yes*	*yes*	*no*	*yes*	*no*
G	*Galilei*	*yes*	*no*	*no*	*yes*	*no*	*yes*
$G_{\pm}$	$Para - Galilei$	*no*	*yes*	*yes*	*no*	*no*	*yes*
C	*Carroll*	*no*	*yes*	*no*	*yes*	*yes*	*no*
S	*Static*	*no*	*yes*	*no*	*yes*	*no*	*yes*

Table III: The kinematical Lie algebras according the values of the dynamical parameters

6 Kinematical Poisson Lie algebras and motion equations

In this section, motion equations and physical quantities associated to each kinematical Lie algebra are precized.

6.1 Poisson brackets and motion equations

We Know that the Poisson bracket of two functions defined on the dual $\mathcal{G}^*$ of any Lie algebra $\mathcal{G}$ is defined by

$$\{f, g\} = K_{ij}(a)\frac{\partial f}{\partial a_i}\frac{\partial g}{\partial a_j} \tag{36}$$

where a_i are the coordinates on $\mathcal{G}^*$ and $K_{ij}(a) = -a_k C_{ij}^k$ are the matrix elements of the Kirillov form. From the Lie brackets defining the kinematical Lie algebras in function of m,E_0 and C follows that the dual of the kinematical Lie algebras are Poisson-Lie algebras defined by

$$\{\tau_i, \tau_j\} = -\frac{1}{E_0}\tau_k\epsilon_{ij}^k,\ \{\tau_i, q_j\} = -\frac{1}{E_0}q_k\epsilon_{ij}^k,\ \{\tau_i, p_j\} = -\frac{1}{E_0}p_k\epsilon_{ij}^k,\ \{\tau_i, E\} = 0 \tag{37}$$

where $\tau_k = \frac{j_k}{E_0}$ is a time pseudo-vector. The other Poisson brackets are given by the table IV

Table *4: The kinematical Poisson Lie algebras*

Lie symbol	Lie algebra Name	$\{E, q_i\}$	$\{q_i, q_j\}$	$\{p_i, q_j\}$	$\{p_i, p_j\}$	$\{E, p_i\}$
$dS_{\pm}$	*de Sitter*	$\frac{1}{m}p_i$	$\frac{1}{m}\tau_k\epsilon_{ij}^k$	$\frac{E}{E_0}\delta_{ij}$	$\mp\frac{1}{C}\tau_k\epsilon_{ij}^k$	$\pm\frac{1}{C}q_i$
$NH_{\pm}$	$Newton-Hooke$	$\frac{1}{m}p_i$	0	0	0	$\pm\frac{1}{C}q_i$
P	*Poincare*	$\frac{1}{m}p_i$	$\frac{1}{m}\tau_k\epsilon_{ij}^k$	$\frac{E}{E_0}\delta_{ij}$	0	0
G	*Galilei*	$\frac{1}{m}p_i$	0	0	0	0
$P_{\pm}$	*Para Poincare*	0	0	$\frac{E}{E_0}\delta_{ij}$	$\mp\frac{1}{C}\tau_k\epsilon_{ij}^k$	$\pm\frac{1}{C}q_i$
$G_{\pm}$	$Para-Galilei$	0	0	0	0	$\pm\frac{1}{C}q_i$
C	*Carroll*	0		$\frac{E}{E_0}\delta_{ij}$	0	0
S	*Static*	0	0	0	0	0

Table IV: The kinematical Poisson Lie algebras

Lie algebras	Motion equations
$dS_{\pm}$ and $NH_{\pm}$	$\frac{dq_i}{dt}=\frac{p_i}{m}$, $\frac{dp_i}{dt}=\pm\frac{q_i}{C}$
P and G	$\frac{dq_i}{dt}=\frac{p_i}{m}$, $\frac{dp_i}{dt}=0$
$P_{\pm}$ and $G_{\pm}$	$\frac{dq_i}{dt}=0$, $\frac{dp_i}{dt}=\pm\frac{q_i}{C}$
C and S	$\frac{dq_i}{dt}=0$, $\frac{dp_i}{dt}=0$

Table V: Motion Equations

It follows from (37) that the linear momenta p_i and the positions q_i behave as components of vectors while the energy behave as a scalar under time pseudo-vector which is is constant in time. The three brackets are all zero for an infinte energy E_0 (the bottom face of the cube above). The motion equations for the p_i and the q_i are given by the table V. They are common for any pair of groups related by the limit $E_0 \to \infty$.

For finite energy E_0 (the top face in the cube above) the Poisson bracket $\{p_i, q_j\} = \frac{E}{E_0}\delta_{ij}$ is different from zero (a kind of incertitude relation). The Poisson brackets $\{p_i, p_j\} = \mp\frac{\tau_k}{C}\epsilon_{ij}^k$ do not vanish for finite compliance and $\{q_i, q_j\} = \frac{\tau_k}{m}\epsilon_{ij}^k$ do not vanish for finite mass. Following [15] we can say that the magnetic field B_k and the exotic magnetic field B_k^* are related to the time pseudo-vector τ_k by $eB_k = -\frac{\tau_k}{C}$ and $e^*B_k^* = -\frac{\tau_k}{m}$. Then $\frac{e^*}{C}B_k^* = \frac{e}{m}B_k$.

6.2 Physical quantities

To each function f on the dual Lie algebra is associated the vector field

$$X_f = K_{ij}(a)\frac{\partial f}{\partial a_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial a_j} \tag{38}$$

such that $\{f, g\} = X_f(g)$.

If the general element the dual of a kinematical Lie algebra is $j_k J^{k*} + q_k Q^{k*} + p_k P^{k*} + EH^*$, we verify that the de Sitter Lie algebra $dS_\pm$ is represented by the vector fields

$$X_{j_i} = -j_k\epsilon_{ij}^k\frac{\partial}{\partial j_j} - q_k\epsilon_{ij}^k\frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} - p_k\epsilon_{ij}^k\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \tag{39}$$

$$X_{q_i} = q_k\epsilon_{ij}^k\frac{\partial}{\partial j_j} + \frac{j_k}{mE_0}\epsilon_{ij}^k\frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} - \frac{E}{E_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} - \frac{p_i}{m}\frac{\partial}{\partial E} \tag{40}$$

$$X_{p_i} = p_k\epsilon_{ij}^k\frac{\partial}{\partial j_j} \mp \frac{j_k}{CE_0}\epsilon_{ij}^k\frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} + \frac{E}{E_0}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \mp \frac{q_i}{C}\frac{\partial}{\partial E} \tag{41}$$

$$X_E = \frac{p_i}{m}\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \pm \frac{q_i}{C}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \tag{42}$$

Those realizing the other kinematical Lie algebras are obtained by the contraction process described in section 5. On the contact manifold $V \times R$ equipped with the symplectic form $\sigma = dp_i \wedge dq_i - dE \wedge dt$ where $V = dS^*_{\pm}/so(3)^*$, the corresponding physical quantities $\mu(a_k)$, solutions of the differential $d\mu(a_k) = -i_{X_{a_k}}(\sigma),\ k = 1, 2, ..., 10$, are given by the table VI where j_{0i} , q_{0i}, p_{0i} and U are constants of integration.

	angular momentum	position	linear momentum	energy
$dS_{\pm}$	$q_k\epsilon_{ij}^k p^j + j_{0i}$	$\frac{j_k}{mE_0}\epsilon_{ij}^k p^j + \frac{E}{E_0}q_i + \frac{p_i}{m}t + q_{0i}$	$\pm\frac{j_k}{CE_0}\epsilon_{ij}^k q^j + \frac{E}{E_0}p_i \pm \frac{q_i}{C}t + p_{0i}$	$\frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} \mp \frac{\vec{q}^2}{2C} + U$
$NH_{\pm}$	$q_k\epsilon_{ij}^k p^j + j_{0i}$	$\frac{p_i}{m}t + q_{0i}$	$\pm\frac{q_i}{C}t + p_{0i}$	$\frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} \mp \frac{\vec{q}^2}{2C} + U$
P	$q_k\epsilon_{ij}^k p^j + j_{0i}$	$\frac{j_k}{mE_0}\epsilon_{ij}^k p^j + \frac{E}{E_0}q_i + \frac{p_i}{m}t + q_{0i}$	$\frac{E}{E_0}p_i + p_{0i}$	$\frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} + U$
G	$q_k\epsilon_{ij}^k p^j + j_{0i}$	$\frac{p_i}{m}t + q_{0i}$	p_{0i}	$\frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m} + U$
$P_{\pm}$	$q_k\epsilon_{ij}^k p^j + j_{0i}$	$\frac{E}{E_0}q_i + q_{0i}$	$\pm\frac{j_k}{CE_0}\epsilon_{ij}^k q^j + \frac{E}{E_0}p_i \pm \frac{q_i}{C}t + p_{0i}$	$\mp\frac{\vec{q}^2}{2C} + U$
$G_{\pm}$	$q_k\epsilon_{ij}^k p^j + j_{0i}$	q_{0i}	$\pm\frac{q_i}{C} + p_{0i}$	$\mp\frac{\vec{q}^2}{2C} + U$
C	$q_k\epsilon_{ij}^k p^j + j_{0i}$	$\frac{E}{E_0}q_i + q_{0i}$	$\frac{E}{E_0}p_i + p_{0i}$	U
S	$q_k\epsilon_{ij}^k p^j + j_{0i}$	q_{0i}	p_{0i}	U

Table VI: The physical quantities associtated to kinematical Lie algebras

7 Conclusion

We can conlude by saying that the de Sitter groups (Newton-Hooke groups) are associated to a massive spring in a phase space where positions as well momenta do not commute, where the incertitude relation $\{p_i, q_j\} = \frac{E}{E_0}\delta_{ij}$ is satisfied (in phase space where positions as well momenta do commute and there is no incertitude relation); the Poincare group (Galilei group) is associated to a massive system in a phase where positions do not commute and momenta commute and the incertitude relation $\{p_i, q_j\} = \frac{E}{E_0}\delta_{ij}$ is satisfied (in phase space where positions as well momenta do commute and the incertitude relation is not satisfied); the Para-Poincare groups (Para-Galilei group) is associated to a light spring[15] with compliance C in a phase space where positions do commute , momenta do not commute and the incertitude relation $\{p_i, q_j\} = \frac{E}{E_0}\delta_{ij}$ is satisfied in phase space where positions as well momenta do commute and there is no incertitude relation); the Carroll group (Static group) is associated to a non moving system where positions as well momenta commute but the incertitude relation $\{p_i, q_j\} = \frac{E}{E_0}\delta_{ij}$ is satisfied (there is no incertitude relation).

References

[1] H.Bacry, J.M.Levy-Leblond, Possible kinematics, *J.Math.Phys.,* ***9***(1968)1605−.

[2] E. Bergschoeff and al., Dynamics of Carroll particles,*arXiv preprint*(2014)$arXiv$1405.2264.

[3] J.R.Derome,J.G.Dubois, Hooke's Symmetries and Nonrelativistic Kinematics,*Il Nuovo Cimento B,* ***9(2)***(1972)351 − 376.

[4] Dooley, A. H., and J. W. Rice, On contractions of semisimple Lie groups, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* ***289(1)***(1985)185 − 202.

[5] C.Duval and al., Carroll versus Newton and Galilei:two dual non-Einsteinian concepst of time, *Class.Quantum.Grav,* ***31***(2014)085016−.

[6] F.J.Dyson, Missed opportunities, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society,* ***78(5)***(1972)635 − 652.

[7] C.Escamilla-Rivera and al., Unveilling the tachyons dynamics in the Carrollian limit, *Rev.Mex.Fis.E* ***56(2)***(2010)177 − 180.

[8] A.Fialowski and al., Deformation and contractios of Lie algebras, *J.Phys.A: Math.Gen.* ***38***(2005)6335−.

[9] Gromov, N. A., Transitions: Contractions and analytical continuations of the Cayley-Klein Groups, *International journal of theoretical physics* ***29(6)***(1990)607 − 620.

[10] Guo, HanYing, et al, The principle of relativity, kinematics and algebraic relations, *Science China Physics, Mechanics and Astronomy* ***53(4)***(2010)591 − 597.

[11] E.Inonu, E.P.Wigner, On the contractions of groups and their representations, *Proc. Nat.Acad.Sc.,* ***39***(1953)510 − 524.

[12] M.Le Bellac and J.M.Levy-Leblond, Galilean electromagnetism, *Nuovo Cimento* ***14B***(1973)217−.

[13] J.M.Levy-Leblond, Une nouvelle limite non relativiste du groupe de Poincare, *Annales de l'IHP section A* ***3(1)***(1965)1 − 12.

[14] McRae, Alan S, Clifford algebras and possible kinematics, *Sigma* ***3***(2007)079−.

[15] A.Ngendakumana and al., Para-Galilean versus Galilean Noncommutative Spaces, *Int.J.Geom.Methods in Modern.Physics* ***10(10)***(2013)1350049−.

[16] A.Ngendakumana and al., Group theoretical construction of planar noncommutative phase spaces, *J.Math.Phys.* ***55***(2014)013508−

[17] E.Saletan, Contraction of Lie groups, *J.Math.Phys.,* ***2***(1961)1−.

[18] Sanjuan, MA Fernandez, Group contraction and the nine Cayley-Klein geometries, *International journal of theoretical physics* ***23(1)***(1984)1 − 14.